March 2017



| 3) Neighbourhood Delivery - David Austin | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|---|---|---|---------------------------------|--|--|
| ND_E05 Response to EH Emergencies | | | | | | | |
| Category: | Corporate Priority: | | Risk Owner: | Portfolio Holder: | Tolerance: | | |
| Health and Safety | Health & Safety | | David Austin | Janice Marshall | Treating | | |
| Inherent Probability | Inherent Impact | Inherent Risk Score | Residual Probability | Residual Impact | Residual Risk Score | | |
| 3 Likely | 5 | 15 Red | 3 Likely | 3 High | 9 Amber | | |
| Consec | quences | Current | Controls | Assurance | | | |
| Failure to respond to a serious EH/PH Incident involving death, harm or injury (or potential to cause these) could have catastrophic consequences to individuals, communities, businesses and the environment. An outbreak of infectious disease for example could spread further unmitigated. Chemical hazards left uncontrolled in the environment could continue to expose individuals to explosion, fire and chemical burns. A biological hazard such as legionella has potential to cause a serious health hazard if uncontrolled. | | Ensure there is sufficient res Regulatory Services to mana the risks. Training carried ou covers roles and responsibili There are arrangements in p provide cover in emergency managed by TL or GM. | age an incident and control at on a regular basis which ities. blace for other LA's to | Mass casualty /CBRN incider Centralised emergency plan Local emergency plans teste outbreak plans peer reviewe | s. ed on an annual basis. LA | | |
| Sign Off and Comments | | | | | | | |
| Sign Off Complete | | | | | | | |
| Agreed | | | | | | | |

March 2017



| ND_E01 General enforcer | ment | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|---|---|--|---------------------|
| Category: | Corporate Priority: | | Risk Owner: | Portfolio Holder: | Tolerance: |
| Technical/Operational | Health & Safety | | David Austin | Janice Marshall | Treating |
| Inherent Probability | Inherent Impact | Inherent Risk Score | Residual Probability | Residual Impact | Residual Risk Score |
| 2 Unlikely | 3 High | 6 Amber | 2 Unlikely | 2 Medium | 4 Green |
| Consequences | | Current Controls | | Assurance | |
| government. This could result in Legal action, poor reputation and most likely put the public at risk in terms of their health or safety. Failure to employ officers of sufficient calibre or monitor competence could also have similar consequences. There are currently pressures | | statutory inspection targets complaints in a timely fashio employed by DBC have the competence commensurate responsibility. There are vac | and respond to any on. Ensure that officers required level of with their level of cancies in key areas at the gency staff to cover these | Annual Inspection reports to FSA. Performance published on FSA website All officers required to do CPD. All EH Targets reported quarterly at SPAE Overview & Scrutiny Committee and any resource issues identified. Service Plans identify key priorities and emerging issues. All enforcement actions are taken in accordance with the Councils Enforcement Policy which has been reviewed and approved by Cabinet. We continue to ensure that any agency staff employed meet the necessary competences. | |
| | | Sign Off and | d Comments | | |
| Sign Off Complete There remains ongoing issue ND_E02 Direct enforceme | es with recruiting suitably skil | led staff so this risk may need | d to be escalated during 201 | 7/2018. | |
| Category: | Corporate Priority: | | Risk Owner: | Portfolio Holder: | Tolerance: |
| Technical/Operational | Health & Safety | | David Austin | Janice Marshall | Treating |
| · · · | | | | | |

March 2017



| 2 Unlikely | 4 Severe | 8 Amber | 2 Unlikely | 3 High | 6 Amber | |
|--|-------------|--|--|--|------------|--|
| Consequences | | Current Controls | | Assurance | | |
| Direct enforcement action resulting in closure of Ensure businesses, curtailment of commercial operations or level sanctions against individuals. Immediate enforcement action is taken in response to serious contraventions of direct | | Ensure that officers employed level of competence commer responsibility. Enforcement direct action is overseen by a cases the Ass Director will al | nsurate with their level of protocols followed and any a team leader/GM. In many | I of anyCouncils Enforcement Policy and corresponding regulators code. | | |
| Sign Off and Comments | | | | | | |
| Sign Off Complete | | | | | | |
| As per EO1 | | | | | | |
| ND_E03 Primary Authority | | | | | | |

| Category: | Corporate Priority: | | Risk Owner: | Portfolio Holder: | Tolerance: |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Financial | | | David Austin | Janice Marshall | Terminating |
| Inherent Probability | Inherent Impact | Inherent Risk Score | Residual Probability | Residual Impact | Residual Risk Score |
| 2 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Unlikely | Severe | Amber | Very Unlikely | Low | Green |
| Consequences | | Current Controls | | Assurance | |

March 2017



| the income from these parts of the specialist EHO's. If an suddenly terminated their c an immediate deficit in fund failure to give the correct te reaching implications nation action against DBC. There w implications if we blocked a | C has a number of Primary Authority Partnerships and income from these partnerships pay for the salaries he specialist EHO's. If any of the larger companies denly terminated their contract this would result in mmediate deficit in funding. There is also a risk that ure to give the correct technical advice could have far ching implications nationally and could result in legal on against DBC. There would also be legal lications if we blocked an enforcement action by one he enforcing Authorities and a challenge was upheld. | | re agreed and throughout Ensure that officers required level of with their level of ficers left the authority ould take over duties on a | PA budgets are reviewed on a monthly basis and with the larger companies such as Tesco's there is an annual assessment of performance and key objectives. | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|---------------------|--|
| | | Sign Off and | d Comments | | | |
| Sign Off Complete The PA partnerships have ended so this risk will be removed in 2017/2018. ND_E04 Pest Control | | | | | | |
| Category: | | | | | Tolerance: | |
| Reputational | Safe and Clean Environment | | David Austin | Janice Marshall | Treating | |
| Inherent Probability | Inherent Impact | Inherent Risk Score | Residual Probability | Residual Impact | Residual Risk Score | |
| 3 Likely | 4 Severe | 12 Red | 2 Unlikely | 2 Medium | 4 Green | |
| Consequences Current Controls | | | Assurance | | | |
| Failure to honour contracts or provide effective treatments could result in a loss of income and loss of reputation. The incorrect use of pesticides could result in harm to the public and non-target species and could result in compensation claims against the Council. | | Ensure that pest control offi undergone appropriate train successfully completed the I with the correct use of pesti techniques. COSHH risk asse | ning. All PCO's have BPCA course and are familiar cides and other eradication | A log of training is maintained by the Team Leader. COSHH risk assessments are reviewed on an annual basis. | | |

March 2017



Sign Off and Comments

Sign Off Complete

No issues during this quarter.